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A. Opinion and recommendation (please mark one answer):

1. The article is suitable for publication as presented.

2. The article is suitable for publication, if changes proposed in point D are introduced.

3. I will formulate my final opinion after reading a corrected version of the article.

4. *Przegląd Historyczny* is not the right place for publication (please suggest another journal in point D.).

5. The article is not suitable for publication.

B. If the reviewer suggests that the article should be resubmitted for assessment (point A.3.), how significant the changes to be introduced by the author should be?

1. Minor

2. Significant

C. If the reviewer suggests changes and reassessment of the article or believes that it is not suitable for publication (point A.5.), please state the reasons:

1. The article contains numerous and significant linguistic errors.

2. The article is badly structured and poorly argued.

3. There are omissions in the literature on the subject.

4. The authors has not applied relevant research methods or has failed to explain these methods.

5. The author does not formulate a clear thesis.

6. The article contributes nothing new to the existing literature on the subject.

7. Other (please list them in point D.):

D. Justification of the reviewer’s opinion: